

*International School and Workshop on
Nonlinear Mathematical Physics and Natural Hazards
SEENET-MTP
28.11 – 01.12.2013, Sofia, Bulgaria*

Riemann-Hilbert Problems, families of commuting operators and soliton equations

V. S. Gerdjikov

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy
Sofia, Bulgaria

PLAN

- RHP with canonical normalization
- Jets of order k
- Reductions of polynomial bundles
- On N -wave equations – $k = 1$
- New N -wave equations – $k \geq 2$
- More new equations
- Soliton solutions
- Soliton interactions
- Conclusions and open questions

Based on:

- V. S. Gerdjikov, D. J. Kaup. *Reductions of 3×3 polynomial bundles and new types of integrable 3-wave interactions*. In Nonlinear evolution equations: integrability and spectral methods, Ed. A. P. Fordy, A. Degasperis, M. Lakshmanan, Manchester University Press, (1981), p. 373–380
- V. S. Gerdjikov. On new types of integrable 4-wave interactions. AIP Conf. proc. **1487** pp. 272-279; (2012).
- V. S. Gerdjikov. Riemann-Hilbert Problems with canonical normalization and families of commuting operators. Pliska Stud. Math. Bulgar. **21**, 201–216 (2012).
- V. S. Gerdjikov. Derivative Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with \mathbb{Z}_N and \mathbb{D}_N -Reductions. Romanian Journal of Physics, **58**, Nos. 5-6, 573-582 (2013).
- V. S. Gerdjikov, A. B. Yanovski *On soliton equations with \mathbb{Z}_h*

and \mathbb{D}_h reductions: conservation laws and generating operators.
J. Geom. Symmetry Phys. **31**, 57–92 (2013).

- V. S. Gerdjikov, A B Yanovski. Riemann-Hilbert Problems, families of commuting operators and soliton equations Submitted to JPA - Conference proceedings. (In press), 2013. Gallipoli.

RHP with canonical normalization

$$\xi^+(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \xi^-(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)G(\vec{x}, t, \lambda), \quad \lambda^k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \xi^+(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \mathbb{1},$$

$$\xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{G}$$

Consider particular type of dependence $G(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)$:

$$i \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_s} - \lambda^k [J_s, G(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)] = 0, \quad i \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} - \lambda^k [K, G(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)] = 0.$$

where $J_s \in \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

The canonical normalization of the RHP:

$$\xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \exp Q(\vec{x}, t, \lambda), \quad Q(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k(\vec{x}, t) \lambda^{-k}.$$

where all $Q_k(\vec{x}, t) \in \mathfrak{g}$. However,

$$\mathcal{J}_s(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) J_s \hat{\xi}^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda), \quad \mathcal{K}(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) K \hat{\xi}^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda),$$

belong to the algebra \mathfrak{g} for any J and K from \mathfrak{g} . If in addition K also belongs to the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , then

$$[\mathcal{J}_s(\vec{x}, t, \lambda), \mathcal{K}(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)] = 0.$$

Zakharov-Shabat theorem

Theorem 1. *Let $\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$ be solutions to the RHP whose sewing function depends on the auxiliary variables \vec{x} and t as above. Then $\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$ are fundamental solutions of the following set of differential operators:*

$$L_s \xi^\pm \equiv i \frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial x_s} + U_s(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) - \lambda^k [J_s, \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)] = 0,$$

$$M \xi^\pm \equiv i \frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial t} + V(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) - \lambda^k [K, \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)] = 0.$$

Proof. Introduce the functions:

$$g_s^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = i \frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial x_s} \hat{\xi}^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) + \lambda^k \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) J_s \hat{\xi}^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda),$$

$$g^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = i \frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial t} \hat{\xi}^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) + \lambda^k \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) K \hat{\xi}^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda),$$

and using

$$i \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_s} - \lambda^k [J_s, G(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)] = 0, \quad i \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} - \lambda^k [K, G(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)] = 0.$$

prove that

$$g_s^+(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = g_s^-(\vec{x}, t, \lambda), \quad g^+(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = g^-(\vec{x}, t, \lambda),$$

which means that these functions are analytic functions of λ in the whole complex λ -plane. Next we find that:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} g_s^+(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \lambda^k J_s, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} g^+(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \lambda^k K.$$

and make use of Liouville theorem to get

$$g_s^+(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = g_s^-(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \lambda^k J_s - \sum_{l=1}^k U_{s;l}(\vec{x}, t) \lambda^{k-l},$$

$$g^+(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = g^-(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \lambda^k K - \sum_{l=1}^k V_l(\vec{x}, t) \lambda^{k-l}.$$

We shall see below that the coefficients $U_{s;l}(\vec{x}, t)$ and $V_l(\vec{x}, t)$ can be expressed in terms of the asymptotic coefficients Q_s of $\xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)$.

Now remember the definition of $g_s^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)$

$$\begin{aligned} g_s^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) &= i \frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial x_s} \hat{\xi}^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) + \lambda^k \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) J_s \hat{\xi}^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) \\ &= \lambda^k J_s - \sum_{l=1}^k U_{s;l}(\vec{x}, t) \lambda^{k-l}, \end{aligned}$$

Multiply both sides by $\xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)$ and move all the terms to the left:

$$i \frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial x_s} + \sum_{l=1}^k U_{s;l}(\vec{x}, t) \lambda^{k-l} \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) - \lambda^k [J_s, \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)] = 0,$$

i.e. $L_s \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = 0$. □

Lemma 1. *The set of operators L_s and M commute*

$$[L_s, L_j] = 0, \quad [L_s, M] = 0,$$

i.e. the following set of equations hold:

$$i \frac{\partial U_s}{\partial x_j} - i \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_s} + [U_s(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) - \lambda^k J_s, U_j(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) - \lambda^k J_j] = 0,$$

$$i \frac{\partial U_s}{\partial t} - i \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_s} + [U_s(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) - \lambda^k J_s, V(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) - \lambda^k K] = 0.$$

where

$$U_s(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \sum_{l=1}^k U_{s;l}(\vec{x}, t) \lambda^{k-l}, \quad V(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \sum_{l=0}^k V_l(\vec{x}, t) \lambda^{k-l}.$$

Jets of order k

How to parametrize $U_s(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)$ and $V(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)$?

Use:

$$\xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \exp Q(\vec{x}, t, \lambda), \quad Q(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k(\vec{x}, t) \lambda^{-k}.$$

and consider the jets of order k of $\mathcal{J}(x, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{K}(x, \lambda)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_s(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) &\equiv \left(\lambda^k \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) J_l \hat{\xi}^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) \right)_+ = \lambda^k J_s - U_s(\vec{x}, t, \lambda), \\ \mathcal{K}(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) &\equiv \left(\lambda^k \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) K \hat{\xi}^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) \right)_+ = \lambda^k K - V(\vec{x}, t, \lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Express $U_s(x) \in \mathfrak{g}$ in terms of $Q_s(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_s(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) &= J_s + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \text{ad}_Q^k J_s, & \mathcal{K}(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) &= K + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \text{ad}_Q^k K, \\ \text{ad}_Q Z &= [Q, Z], & \text{ad}_Q^2 Z &= [Q, [Q, Z]], \quad \dots\end{aligned}$$

and therefore for $U_{s;l}$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{s;1}(\vec{x}, t) &= -\text{ad}_{Q_1} J_s, & U_{s;2}(\vec{x}, t) &= -\text{ad}_{Q_2} J_s - \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}_{Q_1}^2 J_s \\
U_{s;3}(\vec{x}, t) &= -\text{ad}_{Q_3} J_s - \frac{1}{2} (\text{ad}_{Q_2} \text{ad}_{Q_1} + \text{ad}_{Q_1} \text{ad}_{Q_2}) J_s - \frac{1}{6} \text{ad}_{Q_1}^3 J_s \\
&\vdots \\
U_{s;k}(\vec{x}, t) &= -\text{ad}_{Q_k} J_s - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s+p=k} \text{ad}_{Q_s} \text{ad}_{Q_p} J_s \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{s+p+r=k} \text{ad}_{Q_s} \text{ad}_{Q_p} \text{ad}_{Q_r} J_s - \dots - \frac{1}{k!} \text{ad}_{Q_1}^k J_s,
\end{aligned}$$

and similar expressions for $V_l(\vec{x}, t)$ with J_s replaced by K .

Reductions of polynomial bundles

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{a)} \quad & A\xi^{+,\dagger}(x, t, \epsilon\lambda^*)\hat{A} = \hat{\xi}^-(x, t, \lambda), & AQ^\dagger(x, t, \epsilon\lambda^*)\hat{A} &= -Q(x, t, \lambda), \\
 \text{b)} \quad & B\xi^{+,*}(x, t, \epsilon\lambda^*)\hat{B} = \xi^-(x, t, \lambda), & BQ^*(x, t, \epsilon\lambda^*)\hat{B} &= Q(x, t, \lambda), \\
 \text{c)} \quad & C\xi^{+,T}(x, t, -\lambda)\hat{C} = \hat{\xi}^-(x, t, \lambda), & CQ^\dagger(x, t, -\lambda)\hat{C} &= -Q(x, t, \lambda),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon^2 = 1$ and A , B and C are elements of the group \mathfrak{G} such that $A^2 = B^2 = C^2 = \mathbb{1}$. As for the \mathbb{Z}_N -reductions we may have:

$$D\xi^\pm(x, t, \omega\lambda)\hat{D} = \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda), \quad DQ(x, t, \omega\lambda)\hat{D} = Q(x, t, \lambda),$$

where $\omega^N = 1$ and $D^N = \mathbb{1}$.

On N -wave equations – $k = 1$

Lax representation involves two Lax operators linear in λ :

$$L\xi^\pm \equiv i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial x} + [J, Q(x, t)]\xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) - \lambda[J, \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)] = 0,$$

$$M\xi^\pm \equiv i\frac{\partial\xi^\pm}{\partial t} + [K, Q(x, t)]\xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) - \lambda[K, \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)] = 0.$$

The corresponding equations take the form:

$$i\left[J, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}\right] - i\left[K, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}\right] - [[J, Q], [K, Q(x, t)]] = 0$$

$$Q(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1 & u_3 \\ -v_1 & 0 & u_2 \\ -v_3 & -v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} J &= \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_3), \\ K &= \text{diag}(b_1, b_2, b_3), \end{aligned}$$

Then the 3-wave equations take the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} - \frac{a_1 - a_2}{b_1 - b_2} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \kappa \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 u_2^* u_3 &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} - \frac{a_2 - a_3}{b_2 - b_3} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} + \kappa \epsilon_1 u_1^* u_3 &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial t} - \frac{a_1 - a_3}{b_1 - b_3} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} + \kappa \epsilon_2 u_1^* u_2^* &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = a_1(b_2 - b_3) - a_2(b_1 - b_3) + a_3(b_1 - b_2).$$

For 3-dimensional space-time we consider Q of the form $(\)$, but now let u_j and v_j be functions of $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$ and t . Let also $J_1 = J$ and $J_2 = I = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, c_3)$. Now the corresponding solution of the RHP $\xi^\pm(x, y, t, \lambda)$ will be FAS not only of L and M above, but also of

$$P\xi^\pm \equiv i \frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial y} + [I, Q(x, t)]\xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda) - \lambda[I, \xi^\pm(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)] = 0,$$

and all these three operators will mutually commute, i.e. along with $[L, M] = 0$ we will have also $[L, P] = 0$ and $[P, M] = 0$. As a result $Q(x, y, t)$ will satisfy two more 3-wave NLEE

$$2 \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} - \frac{a_1 - a_2}{b_1 - b_2} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} - \frac{a_1 - a_2}{c_1 - c_2} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 u_2^* u_3 = 0,$$

$$2 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} - \frac{a_1 - a_3}{b_1 - b_3} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \frac{a_1 - a_3}{c_1 - c_3} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} + (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) \epsilon_1 u_1^* u_3 = 0,$$

$$2 \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial t} - \frac{a_2 - a_3}{b_2 - b_3} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} - \frac{a_2 - a_3}{c_2 - c_3} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial y} + (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) \epsilon_2 u_1^* u_2^* = 0.$$

$$\kappa_1 = a_1(b_2 - b_3) - a_2(b_1 - b_3) + a_3(b_1 - b_2),$$

$$\kappa_2 = a_1(c_2 - c_3) - a_2(c_1 - c_3) + a_3(c_1 - c_2).$$

For N -wave equations related to Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} of higher rank r we can add up to r auxiliary variables:

$$r \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} - \sum_{s=1}^r (\text{ad}_{J_s}^{-1} \text{ad}_J) \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_s} - i \sum_{s=1}^r \text{ad}_{J_s}^{-1} [[J, Q], [J_s, Q(\vec{x}, t)]] = 0$$

where Q is an $n \times n$ off-diagonal matrix depending on $r + 1$ variables. We remind that if $J = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ then

$$(\text{ad } J Q)_{jk} \equiv ([J, Q])_{jk} = (a_j - a_k)Q_{jk}, \quad (\text{ad } J^{-1} Q)_{jk} = \frac{1}{a_j - a_k} Q_{jk},$$

and similarly for the other J_s .

New 3-wave equations – $k \geq 2$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = sl(3)$ and

$$Q_1(\vec{x}, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1 & u_3 \\ -v_1 & 0 & u_2 \\ -v_3 & -v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_2(\vec{x}, t) = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & w_1 & w_3 \\ -z_1 & q_{22} & w_2 \\ -z_3 & -z_2 & q_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

Fix up $k = 2$. Then the Lax pair becomes

$$L\xi^\pm \equiv i\frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial x} + U(x, t, \lambda)\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda^2[J, \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)] = 0,$$

$$M\xi^\pm \equiv i\frac{\partial \xi^\pm}{\partial t} + V(x, t, \lambda)\xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda) - \lambda^2[K, \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda)] = 0,$$

where

$$U \equiv U_2 + \lambda U_1 = \left([J, Q_2(x)] - \frac{1}{2} [[J, Q_1], Q_1(x)] \right) + \lambda [J, Q_1],$$

$$V \equiv V_2 + \lambda V_1 = \left([K, Q_2(x)] - \frac{1}{2} [[K, Q_1], Q_1(x)] \right) + \lambda [K, Q_1].$$

Impose a \mathbb{Z}_2 -reduction of type a) with $A = \text{diag}(1, \epsilon, 1)$, $\epsilon^2 = 1$. Thus Q_1 and Q_2 get reduced into:

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1 & 0 \\ \epsilon u_1^* & 0 & u_2 \\ 0 & \epsilon u_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & w_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ w_3^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

New type of integrable 3-wave equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
i(a_1 - a_2) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} - i(b_1 - b_2) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \epsilon \kappa u_2^* u_3 + \epsilon \frac{\kappa(a_1 - a_2)}{(a_1 - a_3)} u_1 |u_2|^2 &= 0, \\
i(a_2 - a_3) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} - i(b_2 - b_3) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} + \epsilon \kappa u_1^* u_3 - \epsilon \frac{\kappa(a_2 - a_3)}{(a_1 - a_3)} |u_1|^2 u_2 &= 0, \\
i(a_1 - a_3) \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial t} - i(b_1 - b_3) \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} - \frac{i\kappa}{a_1 - a_3} \frac{\partial(u_1 u_2)}{\partial x} \\
+ \epsilon \kappa \left(\frac{a_1 - a_2}{a_1 - a_3} |u_1|^2 + \frac{a_2 - a_3}{a_1 - a_3} |u_2|^2 \right) u_1 u_2 + \epsilon \kappa u_3 (|u_1|^2 - |u_2|^2) &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = a_1(b_2 - b_3) - a_2(b_1 - b_3) + a_3(b_1 - b_2), \quad u_3 = w_3 + \frac{2a_2 - a_1 - a_3}{2(a_1 - a_3)} u_1 u_2.$$

The diagonal terms in the Lax representation are λ -independent.

Two of them read:

$$i(a_1 - a_2) \frac{\partial |u_1|^2}{\partial t} - i(b_1 - b_2) \frac{\partial |u_1|^2}{\partial x} - \epsilon \kappa (u_1 u_2 u_3^* - u_1^* u_2^* u_3) = 0,$$

$$i(a_2 - a_3) \frac{\partial |u_2|^2}{\partial t} - i(b_2 - b_3) \frac{\partial |u_2|^2}{\partial x} - \epsilon \kappa (u_1 u_2 u_3^* - u_1^* u_2^* u_3) = 0,$$

These relations are satisfied identically as a consequence of the NLEE.

New types of 4-wave interactions

The Lax pair for these new equations will be provided by:

$$L\psi = i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + (U_2(x, t) + \lambda U_1(x, t) - \lambda^2 J) \psi(x, t, \lambda) = 0,$$

$$M\psi = i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + (V_2(x, t) + \lambda V_1(x, t) - \lambda^2 K) \psi(x, t, \lambda) = 0,$$

where $U_j(x, t)$ and $V_j(x, t)$ are fast decaying smooth functions taking values in the Lie algebra $so(5)$

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(x, t) &= [J, Q_1(x, t)], & U_2(x, t) &= [J, Q_2(x, t)] - \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}_{Q_1}^2 J, \\ V_1(x, t) &= [K, Q_1(x, t)], & V_2(x, t) &= [K, Q_2(x, t)] - \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}_{Q_1}^2 K. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\text{ad}_{Q_1} X \equiv [Q_1(x, t), X]$.

Assume $Q_1(x, t)$ and $Q_2(x, t)$ to be generic elements of $so(5)$:

$$Q_1(x, t) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (q_\alpha^1 E_\alpha + p_\alpha^1 E_{-\alpha}) + r_1^1 H_{e_1} + r_2^1 H_{e_2},$$

$$Q_2(x, t) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (q_\alpha^2 E_\alpha + p_\alpha^2 E_{-\alpha}) + r_1^2 H_{e_1} + r_2^2 H_{e_2},$$

$$J = a_1 H_{e_1} + a_2 H_{e_2} = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, 0, -a_2, -a_1),$$

$$K = b_1 H_{e_1} + b_2 H_{e_2} = \text{diag}(b_1, b_2, 0, -b_2, -b_1),$$

Next we impose on $Q_1(x, t)$ and $Q_2(x, t)$ the natural reduction

$$B_0 U(x, t, \epsilon \lambda^*)^\dagger B_0^{-1} = U(x, t, \lambda), \quad B_0 = \text{diag}(1, \epsilon, 1, \epsilon, 1), \quad \epsilon^2 = 1.$$

As a result:

$$B_0(\chi^+(x, t, \epsilon \lambda^*))^\dagger B_0^{-1} = (\chi^-(x, t, \lambda))^{-1}, \quad B_0(T(t, \epsilon \lambda^*))^\dagger B_0^{-1} = (T(t, \lambda))^{-1},$$

which provide $p_\alpha^1 = \epsilon(q_\alpha^1)^*$, $p_\alpha^2 = \epsilon(q_\alpha^2)^*$. Then the Lax representation will be a (rather complicated) system of 8 NLEE for the 8 independent matrix elements q_α^1 and q_α^2 .

However we can impose additional \mathbb{Z}_2 reduction condition

$$D\xi^\pm(x, t, -\lambda)\hat{D} = \xi^\pm(x, t, \lambda), \quad DQ(x, t, -\lambda)\hat{D} = Q(x, t, \lambda), \quad D = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1(x, t) &= u_1 E_{e_1 - e_2} + u_2 E_{e_2} + u_3 E_{e_1 + e_2} + v_1 E_{-e_1 + e_2} + v_2 E_{-e_2} + v_3 E_{-e_1 - e_2} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1 & 0 & u_3 & 0 \\ v_1 & 0 & u_2 & 0 & u_3 \\ 0 & v_2 & 0 & u_2 & 0 \\ v_3 & 0 & v_2 & 0 & u_1 \\ 0 & v_3 & 0 & v_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2(x, t) &= u_4 E_{e_1} + v_4 E_{-e_1} + w_1 H_{e_1} + w_2 H_{e_2} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & 0 & u_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & w_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ w_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -w_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -v_4 & 0 & -w_1 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

$$J = a_1 H_{e_1} + a_2 H_{e_2} = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, 0, -a_2, -a_1),$$

$$K = b_1 H_{e_1} + b_2 H_{e_2} = \text{diag}(b_1, b_2, 0, -b_2, -b_1),$$

Combining both reductions for the matrix elements of $Q_j(x, t)$ we have:

$$v_1 = \epsilon u_1^*, \quad v_2 = \epsilon u_2^*, \quad v_3 = \epsilon u_3^*, \quad v_4 = u_4^*,$$

The commutativity condition for the Lax pair

$$i \left(\frac{\partial V_2}{\partial x} + \lambda \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x} \right) - i \left(\frac{\partial U_2}{\partial t} + \lambda \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial t} \right) + [U_2 + \lambda U_1 - \lambda^2 J, V_2 + \lambda V_1 - \lambda^2 K] = 0$$

must hold identically with respect to λ . The terms proportional to λ^4 , λ^3 and λ^2 vanish identically. The term proportional to λ and the λ -independent term vanish provided Q_i satisfy the NLEE:

$$i \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial t} + [U_2, V_1] + [U_1, V_1] = 0,$$

$$i \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial U_2}{\partial t} + [U_2, V_2] = 0.$$

In components the corresponding NLEE:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2i(a_1 - a_2) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} + 2i(b_1 - b_2) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \kappa \epsilon u_2^* (\epsilon u_2^* u_3 - u_1 u_2 - 2u_4) = 0, \\
& -2ia_2 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} + 2ib_2 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \kappa (u_2 \epsilon (|u_3|^2 - |u_1|^2) + 2u_3 u_4^* + 2\epsilon u_1^* u_4) = 0, \\
& -2i(a_1 + a_2) \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial t} + 2i(b_1 + b_2) \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} + \kappa u_2 (\epsilon u_2^* u_3 - u_1 u_2 + 2u_4) = 0, \\
& -2ia_1 \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial t} + 2ib_1 \frac{\partial u_4}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (-(2a_2 - a_1)u_1 u_2 + (2a_2 + a_1)\epsilon u_2^* u_3) \\
& + i(2b_2 - b_1) \frac{\partial (u_1 u_2)}{\partial x} - i(2b_2 + b_1) \epsilon \frac{\partial (u_2^* u_3)}{\partial x} - \kappa (2\epsilon u_4 (|u_1|^2 - |u_3|^2) \\
& + \epsilon u_1 u_2 (|u_1|^2 + 3|u_3|^2) - u_3 u_2^* (3|u_1|^2 + |u_3|^2)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now introduce

$$U_4 = u_4 - \frac{1}{2a_1} ((a_1 - a_2)u_1 u_2 + (a_1 + a_2)\epsilon u_3 u_2^*).$$

As a result we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2i(a_1 - a_2) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} + 2i(b_1 - b_2) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} - \frac{\kappa \epsilon}{a_1} u_2^* (2a_1 U_4 + \epsilon a_2 u_2^* u_3 + (2a_1 - a_2) u_1 u_2) = 0, \\
& -2ia_2 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} + 2ib_2 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\kappa \epsilon}{a_1} u_2 ((2a_1 + a_2) |u_3|^2 - a_2 |u_1|^2) \\
& \quad - 2\kappa (u_3 U_4^* + \epsilon u_1^* U_4 + u_1^* u_2^* u_3) = 0, \\
& -2i(a_1 + a_2) \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial t} + 2i(b_1 + b_2) \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} + \frac{\kappa}{a_1} u_2 (\epsilon (2a_1 + a_2) u_2^* u_3 - a_2 u_1 u_2 + 2a_1 U_4) = 0, \\
& -2ia_1 \frac{\partial U_4}{\partial t} + 2ib_1 \frac{\partial U_4}{\partial x} + \frac{i\kappa}{a_1} \frac{\partial u_1 u_2}{\partial x} - \frac{i\kappa \epsilon}{a_1} \frac{\partial u_2^* u_3}{\partial x} \\
& - \frac{\kappa}{a_1} (2\epsilon U_4 (|u_1|^2 - |u_3|^2) + (\epsilon u_1 u_2 - u_3 u_2^*) ((2a_1 - a_2) |u_1|^2 + (2a_1 + a_2) |u_3|^2)) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

More new equations

Consider $\mathfrak{g} \simeq sl(3)$; $k = 3$

$$L\psi \equiv i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} + (U_3 + \lambda U_2 + \lambda^2 U_1 - \lambda^3 J)\psi(x, t, \lambda) = 0,$$
$$M\psi \equiv i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + (V_3 + \lambda V_2 + \lambda^2 V_1 - \lambda^3 K)\psi(x, t, \lambda) = 0,$$

The NLEE

$$i\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} - i\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - [U(x, t, \lambda), V(x, t, \lambda)] = 0.$$

Independent matrices: Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 . Reduction: $Q_j = \epsilon Q_j^*$;
Number of independent functions: 9 or less if reductions are used.

Mikhailov reductions: Use automorphism of third order:
Choose $Z = \text{diag}(1, \omega, \omega^2)$ with $\omega^3 = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
J &= \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_3), & K &= \text{diag}(b_1, b_2, b_3), \\
Q_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_2 \\ u_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & Q_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & v_3 \\ v_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & Q_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} d_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_5 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U_1 &= \text{ad}_{Q_1} J, & U_2 &= \text{ad}_{Q_2} J + \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}_{Q_1} \text{ad}_{Q_1} J, \\
U_3 &= \text{ad}_{Q_3} J + \frac{1}{2} (\text{ad}_{Q_1} \text{ad}_{Q_2} + \text{ad}_{Q_2} \text{ad}_{Q_1}) J + \frac{1}{6} \text{ad}_{Q_1}^3 J, \\
V_1 &= \text{ad}_{Q_1} K, & V_2 &= \text{ad}_{Q_2} K + \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}_{Q_1} \text{ad}_{Q_1} K, \\
V_3 &= \text{ad}_{Q_3} K + \frac{1}{2} (\text{ad}_{Q_1} \text{ad}_{Q_2} + \text{ad}_{Q_2} \text{ad}_{Q_1}) K + \frac{1}{6} \text{ad}_{Q_1}^3 K,
\end{aligned}$$

$$U(x, t, \lambda) = U_3 + \lambda U_2 + \lambda^2 U_1 + \lambda^3 J, \quad V(x, t, \lambda) = V_3 + \lambda V_2 + \lambda^2 V_1 + \lambda^3 K,$$

$$L \equiv i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + U(x, t, \lambda), \quad M \equiv i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + V(x, t, \lambda),$$

NLEE:

$$i \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + [U(x, t, \lambda), V(x, t, \lambda)] = 0.$$

$$i(a_1 - a_2) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} - i(b_1 - b_2) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\kappa}{4} (u_1 u_2 + 2v_3)(u_1 u_3 - 2v_2) = 0,$$

$$i(a_2 - a_3) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} - i(b_2 - b_3) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} + \frac{\kappa}{4} (u_2 u_3 + 2v_1)(u_1 u_2 - 2v_3) = 0,$$

$$i(a_1 - a_3) \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial t} - i(b_1 - b_3) \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} - \frac{\kappa}{4} (u_2 u_3 - 2v_1)(u_1 u_3 + 2v_2) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -i(a_1 - a_2) \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t} + i(b_1 - b_2) \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + \frac{ia_3^\vee}{2} \frac{\partial(u_2 u_3)}{\partial t} - \frac{ib_3^\vee}{2} \frac{\partial(u_2 u_3)}{\partial x} \\
& - \frac{\kappa}{2} (u_3 v_3 (u_2 u_3 - 2v_1) + u_2 u_3 (u_2 v_2 + 2u_1 v_1) + 2u_2 v_1 v_2) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -i(a_2 - a_3) \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial t} + i(b_2 - b_3) \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} + \frac{ia_1^\vee}{2} \frac{\partial(u_1 u_3)}{\partial t} - \frac{ib_1^\vee}{2} \frac{\partial(u_1 u_3)}{\partial x} \\
& - \frac{\kappa}{2} (u_3 v_3 (u_1 u_3 + 2v_2) + u_1 u_3 (u_1 v_1 + 2u_2 v_2) - 2u_1 v_1 v_2) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& i(a_1 - a_3) \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial t} - i(b_1 - b_3) \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x} + \frac{ia_2^\vee}{2} \frac{\partial(u_1 u_2)}{\partial t} - \frac{ib_2^\vee}{2} \frac{\partial(u_1 u_2)}{\partial x} \\
& - \frac{\kappa}{2} (u_1 v_2 (u_1 v_1 + 2u_3 v_3) + u_2 v_2 (u_1 u_2 - 2v_3) + 2u_1 v_1 v_3) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1^\vee &= 2a_1 - a_2 - a_3, & a_2^\vee &= 2a_2 - a_1 - a_3, & a_3^\vee &= 2a_3 - a_1 - a_2, \\
b_1^\vee &= 2b_1 - b_2 - b_3, & b_2^\vee &= 2b_2 - b_1 - a_3, & a_3^\vee &= 2a_3 - a_1 - a_2,
\end{aligned}$$

Soliton solutions

Dressing method – Zakharov and Shabat 1974. Developed for $k = 1$, but it can be extended to $k > 1$!

Let $\xi_0^+(x, t, \lambda)$ be a regular solution to the RHP

$$\xi_0^+(x, t, \lambda) = \xi_0^-(x, t, \lambda)G_0(x, t, \lambda), \quad \lambda^k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \xi^+(x, t, \lambda) = \mathbb{1},$$

$$i\frac{\partial G_0}{\partial x} - \lambda^k [J, G_0(x, t, \lambda)] = 0, \quad i\frac{\partial G_0}{\partial t} - \lambda^k [K, G_0(x, t, \lambda)] = 0.$$

where $J, K \in \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

Construct new singular solution to the RHP that will depend on additional parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^+(x, t, \lambda) &= \xi^-(x, t, \lambda)G(x, t, \lambda), & G(x, t, \lambda) &= u_- G_0(x, t, \lambda)u_-^{-1}, \\ \xi^+(x, t, \lambda) &= u(x, t, \lambda)\xi_0^+(x, t, \lambda)u_-^{-1}(\lambda), & u_- &= \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} u(x, t, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Construction of $u(x, t, \lambda)$ by Zakharov-Shabat ansatz:

$$u(x, t, \lambda) = \mathbb{1} + \sum_{s=1}^k \frac{P_s(x, t)}{\lambda - \lambda_s}, \quad \lambda_s = \lambda_1 \omega^{s-1}, \quad \omega = e^{2\pi i/k}.$$

Typical form of the residues:

$$P_s = \frac{|n_s\rangle \langle m_s|}{\langle m_s | n_s \rangle},$$

$$|n_s\rangle = \xi_0^+(x, t, \lambda_s) e^{-i\lambda_s^k Jx - i\lambda_s^k Kt} |n_{0,s}\rangle,$$

$$\langle m_s| = \langle m_{0,s}| e^{i\lambda_s^k Jx + i\lambda_s^k Kt} \hat{\xi}_0^-(x, t, \lambda_s),$$

The effect of the dressing: adds new discrete eigenvalues to the spectrum of L !

BD.I-type MNLS - soliton interactions

$$i\vec{q}_t + \vec{q}_{xx} + 2(\vec{q}^\dagger, \vec{q})\vec{q}(x, t) - (\vec{q}, s_0\vec{q})s_0\vec{q}^*(x, t) = 0, \quad s_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L\psi(x, t, \lambda) \equiv i\partial_x\psi + (Q(x, t) - \lambda J)\psi(x, t, \lambda) = 0.$$

$$M\psi(x, t, \lambda) \equiv i\partial_t\psi + (V_0(x, t) + \lambda V_1(x, t) - \lambda^2 J)\psi(x, t, \lambda) = 0,$$

$$V_1(x, t) = Q(x, t), \quad V_0(x, t) = i\text{ad}_J^{-1} \frac{dQ}{dx} + \frac{1}{2} [\text{ad}_J^{-1} Q, Q(x, t)].$$

where $J = \text{diag}(1, 0, \dots, 0, -1)$ and

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{q}^T & 0 \\ \vec{p} & 0 & s_0\vec{q} \\ 0 & \vec{p}^T s_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{2r+1} (-1)^{k+1} E_{k, 2r+2-k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -s_0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

Here $(E_{kn})_{ij} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{nj}$ and the $2r - 1$ -vectors \vec{q} and $\vec{p} = \vec{q}^*$ take the form

$$\vec{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_{r-1}, q_0, q_{-1}, \dots, q_{-r+1})^T,$$

The dressing factor $u(x, \lambda) \in SO(2r + 1)$

$$u(x, \lambda) = \mathbb{1} + (c(\lambda) - 1)P(x, t) + \left(\frac{1}{c(\lambda)} - 1 \right) \bar{P}(x, t), \quad \bar{P} = S_0^{-1} P^T S_0,$$

where $P(x, t)$ and $\bar{P}(x, t)$ are projectors which satisfy $P\bar{P}(x, t) = 0$.

$$P(x, t) = \frac{|n_1(x, t)\rangle\langle n_1^\dagger(x, t)|}{\langle n_1^\dagger(x, t)|n_1(x, t)\rangle},$$

$$|n_1(x, t)\rangle = \chi_0^+(x, t, \lambda_1^+) |n_{0,1}\rangle, \quad c(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1^+}{\lambda - \lambda_1^-}, \quad \langle n_{0,1} | S_0 | n_{0,1} \rangle = 0.$$

Taking the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ we get that

$$Q_{(1)}(x, t) - Q_{(0)}(x, t) = (\lambda_1^- - \lambda_1^+) [J, P(x, t) - \bar{P}(x, t)].$$

If $Q_{(0)} = 0$ and put $\lambda_1^\pm = \mu \pm i\nu$, $\chi_0^+(x, t, \lambda) = e^{-i\lambda Jx}$:

$$q_k^{(1s)}(x, t) = -2i\nu \left(P_{1k}(x, t) + (-1)^k P_{\bar{k}, 2r+1}(x, t) \right),$$

where $\bar{k} = 2r + 2 - k$.

The one-soliton solution reads

$$q_k = \frac{-i\nu e^{-i\mu(x-vt-\delta_0)}}{\cosh 2z + \Delta_0^2} \left(\alpha_k e^{z-i\phi_k} + (-1)^k \alpha_{\bar{k}} e^{-z+i\phi_{\bar{k}}} \right),$$

$$v = \frac{\nu^2 - \mu^2}{\mu}, \quad u = -2\mu, \quad z(x, t) = \nu(x - ut - \xi_0), \quad (1)$$

$$\xi_0 = \frac{1}{2\nu} \ln \frac{|n_{0,2r+1}|}{|n_{0,1}|}, \quad \alpha_k = \frac{|n_{0,k}|}{\sqrt{|n_{0,1}||n_{0,2r+1}|}}, \quad \Delta_0^2 = \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{2r} |n_{0,k}|^2}{2|n_{0,1}n_{0,2r+1}|},$$

and $\delta_0 = \arg n_{0,1}/\mu = -\arg n_{0,2r+1}/\mu$, $\phi_k = \arg n_{0,k}$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^r 2(-1)^{k+1} n_{0,k} n_{0,\bar{k}} + (-1)^r n_{0,r+1}^2 = 0.$$

For $r = 2$ we identify $\Phi_1 = q_2$, $\Phi_0 = q_3/\sqrt{2}$ and $\Phi_3 = q_4$ and get:

$$\Phi_{\pm 1} = -\frac{2i\nu\sqrt{\alpha_2\alpha_4}e^{-i\mu(x-vt-\delta_{\pm 1})}}{\cosh 2z + \Delta_0^2} (\cos \phi_{\pm 1} \cosh z_{\pm 1} - i \sin \phi_{\pm 1} \sinh z_{\pm 1}),$$

$$\delta_{\pm 1} = \delta_0 \mp \frac{\phi_2 - \phi_4}{2\mu}, \quad \phi_{\pm 1} = \frac{\phi_2 + \phi_4}{2} \quad z_{\pm 1} = z \mp \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_2},$$

$$\Phi_0 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}i\nu\alpha_3 e^{-i\mu(x-vt-\delta_0)}}{\cosh 2z + \Delta_0^2} (\cos \phi_3 \sinh z - i \sin \phi_3 \cosh z).$$

N -soliton interaction for the BD.I VNLS

Apply the dressing method in the form:

$$\chi_{(1)}^\pm(x, \lambda) = u_1(x, t, \lambda) \chi_{(0)}^\pm(x, t, \lambda) \hat{u}_1^\mp(\lambda),$$

$$u_1(x, \lambda) = \mathbb{1} + (c_1(\lambda) - 1)P_1(x, t) + (c_1^{-1}(\lambda) - 1)\bar{P}_1(x, t),$$

$$c_1(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1^+}{\lambda - \lambda_1^-}, \quad P_1 = \frac{|n_1\rangle\langle n_1|}{\langle n_1|n_1\rangle},$$

$$|n_1\rangle = \chi_{(0)}^+(x, t, \lambda_1^+) |n_{10}\rangle, \quad \langle n_1| = \langle n_{10}| \hat{\chi}_{(0)}^-(x, t, \lambda_1^-).$$

Then the FAS $\chi_{(0)}^\pm(x, t, \lambda)$ correspond to the dressed Lax operator $L_{(1)}$ with potential $Q_{(1)}(x, t)$ which has two discrete eigenvalues: $\lambda_1^\pm \in \mathbb{C}_\pm$.

$$Q_{(1)} = Q_{(0)} - 2i\nu_1 [J, P_1(x, t) - \bar{P}_1(x, t)].$$

Choose $Q_{(0)} = 0$; therefore

$$\chi_{(0)}^{\pm}(x, t, \lambda) = e^{-i(\lambda x + \lambda^2 t)J}.$$

N -soliton solution by applying N times the above procedure:

$$u_{N_s}(x, \lambda) = u_N(x, t, \lambda) \cdots u_1(x, t, \lambda) \hat{u}_N^-(\lambda) \cdots \hat{u}_1^-(\lambda),$$

$$u_s(x, \lambda) = \mathbb{1} + (c_s(\lambda) - 1)P_s(x, t) + (c_s^{-1}(\lambda) - 1)\bar{P}_s(x, t),$$

$$c_s(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_s^+}{\lambda - \lambda_s^-}, \quad P_s = \frac{|\mathbf{n}_s\rangle\langle\mathbf{n}_s|}{\langle\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{n}\rangle},$$

$$|\mathbf{n}_s\rangle = u_{s-1}(x, \lambda_s^+) \cdots u_1(x, \lambda_s^+) \hat{u}_1^-(\lambda_s^+) \cdots \hat{u}_{s-1}^-(\lambda_s^+) |\mathbf{n}_s\rangle,$$

$$\langle\mathbf{n}_s| = \langle\mathbf{n}_s| u_{s-1}^-(\lambda_s^-) \cdots u_1^-(\lambda_s^-) \hat{u}_1(x, \lambda_s^-) \cdots \hat{u}_{s-1}(x, \lambda_s^-),$$

$$|\mathbf{n}_s\rangle = \chi_{(0)}^+(x, t, \lambda_s^+) |\mathbf{n}_{s0}\rangle = e^{(z_s - i\phi_s)J} |\mathbf{n}_{s0}\rangle,$$

$$\langle\mathbf{n}_s| = \langle\mathbf{n}_{s0}| \hat{\chi}_{(0)}^-(x, t, \lambda_s^-) = \langle\mathbf{n}_{s0}| e^{(z_s + i\phi_s)J},$$

$$z_s = \nu_s(x + 2\mu_s t), \quad \phi_s = \mu_s x + (\mu_s^2 - \nu_s^2)t.$$

The asymptotics of $P_s(x, t)$ for $z_s \rightarrow \pm\infty$ are given by:

$$\lim_{z_s \rightarrow \infty} P_s(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lim_{z_s \rightarrow -\infty} P_s(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

The asymptotics of $u_s(x, t, \lambda)$ for $z_s \rightarrow \pm\infty$ are given by:

$$\lim_{z_s \rightarrow \infty} u_s(x, t, \lambda) \equiv u_s^+(\lambda) = e^{J \ln c_s(\lambda)}, \quad \lim_{z_s \rightarrow -\infty} u_s(x, t, \lambda) \equiv u_s^-(\lambda) = e^{-J \ln c_s(\lambda)}.$$

Derive the asymptotics of the N -soliton dressing factor $u_{N_s}(x, t)$ keeping z_N fixed and taking $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $t \rightarrow -\infty$. In doing this we assume that each two solitons move with different speeds, i.e.:

$$\mu_k \neq \mu_s, \quad \text{for } k \neq s.$$

We start with the asymptotics of $u_s(x, \lambda)$ and for $\mu_s > \mu_N$ we easily get the result:

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow \infty \\ z_N = \text{fix}}} P_s(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow -\infty \\ z_N = \text{fix}}} P_s(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

If $\mu_s < \mu_N$ we get:

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow \infty \\ z_N = \text{fix}}} P_s(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow -\infty \\ z_N = \text{fix}}} P_s(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow \infty \\ z_N = \text{fix}}} u_s(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} c_s(\lambda) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_s^{-1}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = e^{J \ln c_1(\lambda)},$$

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow -\infty \\ z_N = \text{fix}}} u_s(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} c_s^{-1}(\lambda) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_s(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = e^{-J \ln c_1(\lambda)},$$

Finally we evaluate the limits of $P_N(x, t)$ with the result:

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow \infty \\ z_N = \text{fix}}} P_N(x, t) = \frac{|\mathbf{n}_N^+\rangle \langle \mathbf{n}_N^+|}{\langle \mathbf{n}_N^+ | \mathbf{n}_N^+ \rangle},$$

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow -\infty \\ z_N = \text{fix}}} P_s(x, t) = \frac{|\mathbf{n}_N^-\rangle \langle \mathbf{n}_N^-|}{\langle \mathbf{n}_N^- | \mathbf{n}_N^- \rangle},$$

$$|\mathbf{n}_N^+\rangle = \prod_{s \in \sigma_\mu^+} (u^+(\lambda_N^+))^2 |n_N\rangle,$$

$$|\mathbf{n}_N^-\rangle = \prod_{s \in \sigma_\mu^-} (u^+(\lambda_N^+))^2 |n_N\rangle,$$

$$\sigma_\mu^+ \equiv \{\mu_s, \mu_s > \mu_N\}_{s=1}^{N-1},$$

$$\sigma_\mu^- \equiv \{\mu_s, \mu_s < \mu_N\}_{s=1}^{N-1},$$

Thus we find:

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow \infty \\ z_N = \text{fix}}} Q_{N_s}(x, t) = Q_{1s}(z_N^+, \phi_N^+),$$

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow -\infty \\ z_N = \text{fix}}} Q_{N_s}(x, t) = Q_{1s}(z_N^-, \phi_N^-),$$

$$z_N^+ = z_N + 2 \sum_{s \in \sigma_\mu^+} \ln \left| \frac{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^+}{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^-} \right|,$$

$$z_N^- = z_N - 2 \sum_{s \in \sigma_\mu^-} \ln \left| \frac{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^+}{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^-} \right|$$

$$\phi_N^+ = \phi_N + 2 \sum_{s \in \sigma_\mu^+} \arg \frac{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^+}{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^-},$$

$$\phi_N^- = \phi_N - 2 \sum_{s \in \sigma_\mu^-} \arg \frac{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^+}{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^-}.$$

Thus the N -soliton interactions of the **BD.I**-VNLS are like for the scalar NLS case. The only effect of the interaction is the shifts of relative center-of-mass coordinates and of the phases:

$$z_N^+ - z_N^- = 2 \sum_{s \in \sigma_\mu^+} \ln \left| \frac{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^+}{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^-} \right| - 2 \sum_{s \in \sigma_\mu^-} \ln \left| \frac{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^+}{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^-} \right|,$$

$$\phi_N^+ - \phi_N^- = 2 \sum_{s \in \sigma_\mu^+} \arg \frac{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^+}{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^-} - 2 \sum_{s \in \sigma_\mu^-} \arg \frac{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^+}{\lambda_N^+ - \lambda_s^-},$$

Conclusions and open questions

- More classes of new integrable equations: i) higher rank simple Lie algebras; ii) different types of grading; iii) different power k of the polynomials $U(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)$ and $V(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)$ and iv) different reductions of U and V .
- These new NLEE must be Hamiltonian. View the jets $U(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)$ and $V(\vec{x}, t, \lambda)$ as elements of co-adjoint orbits of some Kac-Moody algebra.
- Apply Zakharov-Shabat dressing method for constructing their N -soliton solutions and study their interactions.